# COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SMALL RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS; IMPACT ON NATURAL RESOURCES, REPORT. (HB 206)

#### **REGULATORY ADVISORY PANEL MEETING MINUTES, MEETING #3**

## MEETING LOCATION: HILLSDALE CONFERENCE CENTER 550 HILLSDALE DRIVE CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901

Friday, August 19th, 2022

### Members (including primaries, alternates, and SMEs):

#### In Attendance (Last, First):

Hammond, Jeff	Newton, Jacob
Harbin, John	Orrell, Jim
Hawk, Chris	Piontek, Emily
Hearne, Carrie	Pollard, Speaker
Holmes, Dan	Rovner, Nikki
Jacobs, Zach	Sanner, Peggy
Jamison, Dan	Seaford, Kevin
Kane, Stephanie	Seward, Susan
Killius, Anna	Sink, Dominika
Lasher, Terry	Smiley, Mitchell
Machiran, Jeff	Utt, Tyson
Marshall, Elizabeth	Vaughan, Evan
Moore, Martha	Weber, Joe
Murray, David	
	Harbin, John Hawk, Chris Hearne, Carrie Holmes, Dan Jacobs, Zach Jamison, Dan Kane, Stephanie Killius, Anna Lasher, Terry Machiran, Jeff Marshall, Elizabeth Moore, Martha

#### Absent:

Allmond, Josephus	Coggeshall, Charlie	Ericson, Jason
Amores, Jon	Connors, Corey	Fanning, Patrick
Anderson, Meade	Corradi, Rob	Farrelly, Kevin
Belville-Marrion, Jenny	Crenshaw, Walter	Flavin, Andrew
Bolthouse, Julie	Crum, Katie	Flowers, Todd
Brumberg, Sam	Dodson, Chris	Forren, Kelsey
Cizenski, Michael	Dreiling, Michael	Giese, Will
Clark, Hilary	Egghart, Chris	Gordon, Chris

Guthrie, Joe Martin, Amy Skiffington, Michael Hertz. Heidi McDonald, Christopher Sundstrom, Craig Norris, Ben Higgins, Victoria Sydnor, Cutter Howe, Kevin Thomas, Rick Rolband, Michael Ignosh, John Saunders, Ben Westcott Jr, David Jesensky, Kenny Schmidt, Kevin Wheeler, Lauren Wilder, Joe Joshipura, Neil Shreve, Kyle Lerch, Joe Sili, Jeff Wortzel, Andrea

Martin, James Sims, Jessica

#### Facilitators, Institute for Engagement & Negotiation (IEN), University of Virginia:

Altizer, Kelly Cobb, Tanya Denckla Oliva, Michelle Montserrat Rizk, Sarah

#### **Department of Environmental Quality:**

Foster, Amber Meyer, Elena M. Thompson, Tamera M. Tripp, Susan Dowd, Michael

The meeting began at approximately 9:35 am.

**Meeting Purpose:** This regulatory advisory panel (RAP) has been established to advise and assist in developing a program to mitigate the impacts of small solar projects on forest cores and prime agricultural soils. This group was formed in accordance with and to satisfy the requirements put forth in HB 206. The purpose of this meeting was to begin building consensus among the workgroup members, and for workgroups to share their draft proposals with the larger group. The group then had the chance to ask clarifying questions or provide suggestions and/or potential concerns. Finally, the workgroups were given additional working time to refine their proposals.

**Introductions, Logistics:** The IEN facilitators introduced the process for the day, and reviewed logistics with the workgroups. The goal for the day was to engage in a "rapid scan" of issues, then answer any clarifying questions, and hear any suggestions or concerns. This was to receive feedback and share ideas, not to reach a consensus on these topics.

**Workgroup Presentations:** Workgroups 1, 4, and 5 presented their proposals. Workgroups 2 & 3 did not present, as they required additional time to complete proposals. They planned to work with the facilitators to have draft proposals written for

the next meeting. The workgroups presented their proposals and updates in the following order:

- Workgroup 5
- Workgroup 4
- Workgroup 1

**Workgroup 5** (local control) stated their main goal was to improve the coordination and dialogue between localities, DEQ, and solar developers. A major concern among members is that localities are often the last to know details of solar installations. The group is interested in utilizing existing processes and has proposals about utilizing processes such as NOIs and siting agreements.

**Workgroup 4** (Significant adverse impact <10 acres ag soil/50 acres forest) mainly focused on proposals for obtaining information and conserving high-quality forest land and ecological cores. The group was in agreement about conserving C1 ecological cores (considered the highest value), and interested in C2 cores. The larger group discussed considerations about obtaining accurate information about these ecological cores, and if C2 cores should be included.

**Workgroup 1** (avoidance and minimization) stated they spent a lot of time defining what disturbance was, and how to avoid it. They also discussed what kinds of activity would be considered disturbance and should be mitigated. The workgroup discussed different ways to incorporate avoidance and minimization tactics within installations, such as keeping some land within their installations in use as either forests or farms. Another area of minimization that was discussed was how to avoid negative impacts on soils via compaction or changes to soil pH and chemistry.

Members of the larger group were all given the chance to provide feedback for the workgroups. Following these presentations, the workgroups were given the time to work on their proposals.

#### **Future Meetings:**

Meeting #4 - Tue Aug 23: Building Consensus (Day 2)

Location: Richmond (Workforce Development and Conference Center)

Meeting #5 - Wed Sep 28: Review of Final Draft Report Wrap Up

Location: Charlottesville – Hillsdale Conference Center, 550 Hillsdale Drive,

Charlottesville, VA 22901